

# Rational Bounds for the Logarithm Function with Applications

Robert Bosch

## Abstract

We find rational bounds for the logarithm function and we show applications to problem-solving.

## 1 Introduction

Let

$$a_n = \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^n,$$

solving the problem **U385** from the journal *Mathematical Reflections* I realized that I needed good lower and upper bounds for  $a_n$  depending on  $n$ . The classical  $a_n < e$  was not enough. The problem **U385** was proposed by Ángel Plaza, Universidad de Las Palmas de Gran Canaria, Spain:

*Evaluate*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{n} \left( \sqrt{\frac{(n+1)^n}{n^{n-1}}} - \sqrt{\frac{n^{n-1}}{(n-1)^{n-2}}} \right).$$

More precisely, I conjectured that

$$\sqrt{\frac{a_n}{a_{n-1}}} \leq 1 + \frac{1}{n^2}.$$

To prove this conjecture I used the double inequality

$$\frac{2n}{2n+1} \cdot e < a_n < \frac{2n+1}{2n+2} \cdot e, \tag{1}$$

that I found in [1]. Exactly, problem 170, page 38 with solution in page 216. The source of this problem is old, from 1872 in *Nouvelles Annales de Mathématiques*, [2], the proposer is unknown and was solved by C. Moreau in [3]. Here we present a new proof. Later we will show how this result helps to find the limit. Our proof of (1) is based on non-standard bounds for the logarithm function, these bounds are rational functions (quotient of two polynomials) and the seed inequalities (the proof is iterative) are the well-known

$$e^x \geq x + 1, \text{ for } x \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{2}$$

$$\ln x > 1 - \frac{1}{x}, \text{ for } x > 1. \tag{3}$$

## 2 Main Theorem

Let us begin with the proof of (2) and (3).

- $e^x \geq x + 1$  for all real  $x$ . Equality holds if and only if  $x = 0$ .

*Proof:* Let  $f(x) = e^x - x - 1$ . Note that  $f'(x) = e^x - 1 \geq 0$  for  $x \geq 0$ , therefore  $f$  is an

increasing function for  $x \geq 0$ . So,  $f(x) \geq f(0)$  or equivalently  $e^x \geq x + 1$ . If  $x \leq -1$  then  $x + 1$  is negative and  $e^x$  is positive. When  $-1 < x < 0$  the function  $f(x)$  is also positive because  $f(-1) = e^{-1} > 0$  and  $f(0) = 0$ . Suppose by contradiction that exist  $x_0 \in (-1, 0)$  such that  $f(x_0) < 0$ , then since  $f(x)$  is clearly continuous by Bolzano's theorem there is a value  $x_1 \in (-1, x_0)$  with  $f(x_1) = 0$ , contradiction since  $f(x) = 0$  only for  $x = 0$ . To prove this last result suppose that  $f(\bar{x}) = 0$  and  $\bar{x} \neq 0$ . By Rolle's theorem exist  $\hat{x} \in (\bar{x}, 0)$  such that  $f'(\hat{x}) = 0$ , or  $e^{\hat{x}} = 1$ , hence  $\hat{x} = 0$ , contradiction.

- $\ln x > 1 - \frac{1}{x}$  for  $x > 1$ .

*Proof:*

$$\int_1^x \frac{1}{t} dt > \int_1^x \frac{1}{t^2} dt.$$

Now we are ready to find the rational bounds.

**Theorem:**

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 : \ln(1+x) &\leq x, & x > -1, \\ I_2 : \ln(1+x) &\leq \frac{x(x+2)}{2(x+1)}, & x \geq 0, \\ I_3 : \ln(1+x) &\leq \frac{x(x+6)}{2(2x+3)}, & x \geq 0, \\ I_4 : \ln x &> \frac{2(x-1)}{x+1}, & x > 1. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof:*

$I_1$  is a direct consequence of (2). Now we integrate  $I_1$  to obtain  $I_2$ ,

$$\int_0^x \ln(1+t) dt \leq \int_0^x t dt.$$

Integrating  $I_2$  the result is  $I_3$ ,

$$\int_0^x \ln(1+t) dt \leq \int_0^x \frac{t(t+2)}{2(t+1)} dt.$$

Finally  $I_4$  follows from integrate (3),

$$\int_1^x \ln t dt > \int_1^x \left(1 - \frac{1}{t}\right) dt.$$

Notice that in each step the new inequalities are refinements of the previous ones. Holds

$$\frac{x(x+6)}{2(2x+3)} \leq \frac{x(x+2)}{2(x+1)} \leq x,$$

for  $x \geq 0$ . Also

$$\frac{2(x-1)}{x+1} \leq \frac{x-1}{x},$$

for  $x > 1$ . The result of continuing this algorithm are not rational bounds. To see how to find new refinements that are rational bounds look at [4].

### 3 Applications

- We show a proof of the Arithmetic-Geometric Mean inequality using inequality (2).

Let  $\alpha = \frac{x_1+x_2+\dots+x_n}{n}$ . By (2),

$$e^{\left(\frac{x_1}{\alpha}-1\right)} \cdot e^{\left(\frac{x_2}{\alpha}-1\right)} \dots e^{\left(\frac{x_n}{\alpha}-1\right)} \geq \frac{x_1}{\alpha} \cdot \frac{x_2}{\alpha} \dots \frac{x_n}{\alpha}.$$

After simple transformations this inequality is equivalent to AM-GM:

$$\alpha^n \geq x_1 x_2 \dots x_n.$$

- Let us see the proof of the double inequality (1). Taking logarithms on both sides we need to prove

$$\ln\left(\frac{2n}{2n+1}\right) + 1 < n \ln\left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right) < \ln\left(\frac{2n+1}{2n+2}\right) + 1.$$

The left hand inequality is

$$n \ln\left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right) + \ln\left(\frac{2n+1}{2n}\right) > 1.$$

The lower bound provided by (3) is not effective here, but  $I_4$  it is,

$$\frac{2n}{2n+1} + \frac{2}{4n+1} > 1 \Leftrightarrow 2 > 1.$$

The right hand inequality becomes

$$n \ln\left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right) + \ln\left(1 + \frac{1}{2n+1}\right) < 1.$$

$I_1$  and  $I_2$  are not good enough, but  $I_3$  it is,

$$\frac{6n+1}{2(3n+2)} + \frac{12n+7}{2(6n+5)(2n+1)} < 1 \Leftrightarrow 3n+1 > 0.$$

A nice consequence of the double inequality (1) is

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = e.$$

- The problem **U373** from *Mathematical Reflections* is

*Prove the following inequality holds for all positive integers  $n \geq 2$ ,*

$$\left(1 + \frac{1}{1+2}\right) \left(1 + \frac{1}{1+2+3}\right) \dots \left(1 + \frac{1}{1+2+3+\dots+n}\right) < 3.$$

*Proposed by Nguyen Viet Hung, Hanoi University of Science, Vietnam.*

The published solution is due to *Albert Stadler, Herrliberg, Switzerland* using the inequality  $I_1$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{k=2}^n \left(1 + \frac{1}{1+2+\dots+k}\right) &= \prod_{k=2}^n \left(1 + \frac{2}{k(k+1)}\right), \\ &= \exp\left(\sum_{k=2}^n \ln\left(1 + \frac{2}{k(k+1)}\right)\right), \\ &\leq \exp\left(2 \sum_{k=2}^n \frac{1}{k(k+1)}\right), \\ &= \exp\left(2 \sum_{k=2}^n \left(\frac{1}{k} - \frac{1}{k+1}\right)\right), \\ &= \exp\left(1 - \frac{2}{n+1}\right) \leq e < 3. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we want to show how applying  $I_2$  we obtain a refinement.

We need to show that

$$\prod_{k=1}^n \left(1 + \frac{2}{k(k+1)}\right) < 6.$$

Or equivalently

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \ln\left(1 + \frac{2}{k(k+1)}\right) < \ln 6.$$

By  $I_2$  it is enough to prove that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k(k+1)} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2+k+2} \leq \ln 6.$$

The first series telescopes to 1 and the second one can be found from the series for  $z \cot(\pi z)$  for an appropriate  $z$ , the value using **Wolfram Alpha** is

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2+k+2} = \frac{\pi \tanh\left(\frac{\sqrt{7}\pi}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{7}} - \frac{1}{2} \approx 0.686827.$$

Finally  $1.686827 < 1.791759 = \ln 6$ .

Notice that  $e^{1.686827} \approx 5.4023 < 5.4365 \approx 2e$ .

- To finish let us see our solution to problem **U385**, the before mentioned limit.

The value of the limit is  $\frac{\sqrt{e}}{2}$ . Denoting

$$a_n = \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^n \rightarrow e,$$

the expression to find the limit is

$$\sqrt{a_{n-1}} \left( \sqrt{\frac{a_n}{a_{n-1}}} n - \sqrt{n(n-1)} \right).$$

It only remains to show that the expression inside parenthesis tend to  $\frac{1}{2}$ . We shall use the double inequality

$$1 \leq \sqrt{\frac{a_n}{a_{n-1}}} \leq 1 + \frac{1}{n^2}.$$

The lower bound is because the sequence  $a_n$  is increasing, the upper bound is hard and is true because the double inequality

$$\frac{2n}{2n+1} \cdot e < a_n < \frac{2n+1}{2n+2} \cdot e.$$

We obtain

$$\sqrt{\frac{a_n}{a_{n-1}}} < \sqrt{\frac{4n^2-1}{4n^2-4}} < 1 + \frac{1}{n^2}.$$

To finish, note that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n - \sqrt{n(n-1)} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 1/n}}{1/n},$$

and doing  $x = 1/n$ , we have

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{1 - \sqrt{1-x}}{x} = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2\sqrt{1-x}} = \frac{1}{2}.$$

By L'Hopital's rule.

## References

- [1] G. PÓLYA - G. SZEGŐ. *Problems and Theorems in Analysis. Vol. I.* Springer, (1998).
- [2] PROBLEM 1098. *Nouv. Anns. Math. Ser. 2, Vol. 11*, p. 480. (1872)
- [3] C. MOREAU. *Nouv. Anns. Math. Ser. 2, Vol. 13*, p. 61. (1874)
- [4] FLEMMING TOPSOE. *Some bounds for the logarithmic function.* University of Copenhagen. (available on the Internet.)

Robert Bosch  
USA.  
bobbydrg@gmail.com