

A WEIGHTED POWER LESSELS-PELLING INEQUALITY

MIHÁLY BENCZE AND MARIUS DRĂGAN

ABSTRACT. Lessels-Pelling inequality states that in a triangle the sum of two angle bisectors and a medians is less or equal than the product between $\sqrt{3}$ and the semiperimeter. The purpose of this article is to find a powered and weighed version of this inequality (in the presence of some supplementary conditions), and to prove chains of inequalities which represent refinements of powered Lessels-Pelling inequality.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let ABC be a triangle. We shall denote the side lengths by $a = BC$, $b = CA$, $c = AB$ and the semiperimeter by $s = \frac{a+b+c}{2}$. The length of the median from A is denoted by m_a , while w_b , w_c denote the lengths of angle bisector from B and C .

A brief history of the Lessels-Lelling inequality is presented below.

In 1974, J. Garfunkel [1] conjectured on the basis of computer simulations the inequality $m_a + w_b + w_c \leq s\sqrt{3}$, where h_c represent the length of the altitude from C . In 1976, C.S. Gardner [1] proved it using elementary transformations and derivatives. In 1977, G.S. Lessels and M.J. Pelling [2] used computer simulations to predict a stronger inequality

$$m_a + w_b + w_c \leq s\sqrt{3}.$$

In 1980, B.E. Patuwo, R.S.D. Thomas and Chung-Lie Wang give in [3] a proof of this inequality. In [4] a new proof is given by C. Tănăsescu. In 1981, L. Panaitopol [5] finds an elementary solution of Lessels-Pelling inequality. M. Drăgan gives a simple proof and some refinements of Lessels-Pelling inequality in [6] and [7].

2. MAIN RESULTS

In what follows we use the notations:

$$\begin{aligned} x &= \frac{a}{s}, \quad y = \frac{b}{s}, \quad z = \frac{c}{s}, \quad u = \sqrt{1-y}, \quad v = \sqrt{1-z}, \quad s_1 = u+v, \quad p_1 = u \cdot v, \\ E &= \sqrt{\frac{2(y^2+z^2)-x^2}{4}}, \quad s_2 = \beta u + \gamma v, \quad t = \frac{3\alpha^2 - 2\alpha + 1}{2}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

where numbers $\alpha, \beta, \gamma > 0$ satisfy $(\beta - \gamma)(b - c) \geq 0$ and $\alpha + \beta + \gamma = 1$.

Lemma 2.1. *The following inequalities hold:*

$$i) \quad E \leq \sqrt{1 - \frac{s_1^2}{2}}; \quad (2.2)$$

$$ii) \quad E \leq \sqrt{1 - \frac{2}{(1-\alpha)^2} s_2^2}. \quad (2.3)$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 26D15; 51M16.

Key words and phrases. Lessels-Pelling inequality.

Proof. i) From (2.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
E &= \sqrt{\frac{2(2 + u^4 + v^4 - 2u^2 - 2v^2) - (u^2 + v^2)^2}{4}} \\
&= \sqrt{\frac{2[2 + (u^2 + v^2)^2 - 2p_1^2 - 2(u^2 + v^2)] - (u^2 + v^2)^2}{4}} \\
&= \sqrt{\frac{4 + 2(s_1^2 - 2p_1)^2 - 4p_1^2 - 4(s_1^2 - 2p_1) - (s_1^2 - 2p_1)^2}{4}} \\
&= \sqrt{\frac{4 + s_1^4 - 4s_1^2 + 4p_1(2 - s_1^2)}{4}} \leq \sqrt{\frac{4 - 4s_1^2 + 2s_1^2}{4}} = \sqrt{1 - \frac{s_1^2}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

ii) We have

$$s_2 = \beta u + \gamma v \leq \frac{1}{2}(\beta + \gamma)(u + v) = \frac{(1 - \alpha)s_1}{2}, \quad (2.4)$$

since by $(\beta - \gamma)(b - c) \geq 0$, it follows that $(\beta - \gamma)(u - v) \leq 0$.

From (2.2) and (2.4) it results (2.3). \square

Theorem 2.1 (The weighted power Lessels-Pelling inequality). *In every triangle ABC, the following inequality holds:*

$$\alpha m_a + \beta w_b + \gamma w_c \leq s\sqrt{t}, \quad (2.5)$$

where numbers $\alpha, \beta, \gamma > 0$ satisfy $(\beta - \gamma)(b - c) \geq 0$ and $\alpha + \beta + \gamma = 1$.

Proof. Since $w_b \leq \sqrt{s(s - b)}$ and $w_c \leq \sqrt{s(s - c)}$, in order to prove (2.5), it is sufficient to show that

$$\alpha m_a + \beta\sqrt{s(s - b)} + \gamma\sqrt{s(s - c)} \leq s\sqrt{t}. \quad (2.6)$$

By (2.1), the inequality (2.6) may be written as

$$\alpha\sqrt{\frac{2(y^2 + z^2) - x^2}{4}} + \beta\sqrt{1 - y} + \gamma\sqrt{1 - z} \leq \sqrt{t},$$

or

$$\alpha E + s_2 \leq \sqrt{t}. \quad (2.7)$$

From (2.2) and (2.4) we have that

$$\alpha E + s_2 \leq \alpha\sqrt{\frac{2 - s_1^2}{2}} + \frac{1 - \alpha}{2}s_1.$$

It results that in order to prove (2.7), it is sufficient to show that

$$\alpha\sqrt{\frac{2 - s_1^2}{2}} + \frac{1 - \alpha}{2}s_1 \leq \sqrt{t}. \quad (2.8)$$

Note that $s_1 = \sqrt{1 - y} + \sqrt{1 - z} \leq \sqrt{2(2 - y - z)} = \sqrt{2x} = \sqrt{\frac{2a}{s}} < \sqrt{2}$.

Consider the function $f : (0, \sqrt{2}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $f(s_1) = \alpha\sqrt{\frac{2 - s_1^2}{2}} + \frac{(1 - \alpha)s_1}{2}$. The derivative is $f'(s_1) = \frac{-\alpha s_1}{\sqrt{4 - 2s_1^2}} + \frac{1 - \alpha}{2}$. Clearly, the equation $f'(s_1) = 0$ has the root $s_0 =$

$$\frac{2(1 - \alpha)}{\sqrt{6\alpha^2 - 4\alpha + 2}}.$$

Since $f'(0) \geq 0$, $f'(\sqrt{2}) \leq 0$, it results that s_0 is a maximum point for f . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} f(s_1) \leq f(s_0) &= \alpha \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} \left[2 - \frac{4(1-\alpha)^2}{6\alpha^2 - 4\alpha + 2} \right]} + \frac{(1-\alpha)^2}{\sqrt{6\alpha^2 - 4\alpha + 2}} \\ &= \alpha \sqrt{\frac{4\alpha^2}{6\alpha^2 - 4\alpha + 2}} + \frac{(1-\alpha)^2}{\sqrt{6\alpha^2 - 4\alpha + 2}} \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{3\alpha^2 - 2\alpha + 1}{2}} = \sqrt{t}, \end{aligned}$$

which proves (2.8). □

The following results are direct consequences of Theorem 2.1.

Corollary 2.1. *In every triangle ABC, the following inequality holds*

$$\alpha m_a + \beta w_b + \gamma w_c \leq s \sqrt{\frac{2\alpha^2 + (\beta + \gamma)^2}{2}}, \quad (2.9)$$

where α, β, γ are positive numbers such that $(b-c)(\beta-\gamma) \geq 0$.

Proof. Take $\alpha \rightarrow \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta + \gamma}$, $\beta \rightarrow \frac{\beta}{\alpha + \beta + \gamma}$, $\gamma \rightarrow \frac{\gamma}{\alpha + \beta + \gamma}$ in (2.5). □

Corollary 2.2. *In every triangle ABC, the following inequality holds*

$$m_a w_a + m_c w_b + m_b w_c \leq s \sqrt{\frac{2w_a^2 + (m_b + m_c)^2}{2}}.$$

Proof. Since $(b-c)(m_c - m_b) \geq 0$, if we take $\alpha = w_a$, $\beta = m_c$, $\gamma = m_b$ in (2.9), we obtain the inequality from the statement. □

Corollary 2.3. *In every triangle ABC, the following inequality holds*

$$m_a m_b m_c + w_a w_b m_c + w_a m_b w_c \leq s \sqrt{m_b^2 m_c^2 + \frac{w_a^2 (m_b + m_c)^2}{2}}. \quad (2.10)$$

Proof. Since $(b-c) \left(\frac{1}{m_b} - \frac{1}{m_c} \right) \geq 0$, taking $\alpha = \frac{1}{w_a}$, $\beta = \frac{1}{m_b}$, $\gamma = \frac{1}{m_c}$ in (2.9), we obtain the inequality from the statement. □

REFERENCES

- [1] Garfunkel, J., *Problem E 2504*, Amer. Math. Monthly **81** (1974), 1111 solution (by C. S. Garden) Amer. Math. Monthly **83** (1976), 289–290
- [2] Lessels, G.S. and Pelling, M.J., *An inequality for the sum of two angle bisectors and a median*, Univ. Beograd, Publ. Elektrotehn. Fak. Ser. Mat. Fiz. no. 577, no. 598 (1977), 59–62
- [3] Patuwo, B.E., Thomas, R.S.D. and Chung-Lie Wang, *The triangle inequality of Lessels and Pelling*, Univ. Beograd, Publ. Elektrotehn. Fak. Ser. Mat. Fiz. no. 678, no. 715
- [4] Mitrinović, D.S., Pečarić, J.E. and Volonec, V., *Recent Advances in Geometric Inequalities*, 222–223
- [5] Panaitopol, L., *About some geometric inequalities*, G.M.–B no. 8 (1981), 296–298 (Romanian)
- [6] Drăgan, M., *Some geometric inequalities*, Rev. Arhimede no. 7–12 (2008), 6–8 (Romanian)
- [7] Drăgan M., *Some extensions and refinements of Lessels-Pelling inequality*, G.M.–B. no. 6-7-8 (2013), 281–284 (Romanian)
- [8] Octogon Mathematical Magazine (1997–2014)

HĂRMANULUI 6, 505600 SĂCELE,
BRAȘOV, ROMANIA
E-mail address: `benczemihaly@yahoo.com`

61311 TIMIȘOARA 35,
BL. OD6, SC. E, ET. 7, AP. 176, SECT. 6,
BUCUREȘTI, ROMANIA
E-mail address: `marius.dragan2005@yahoo.com`