

## Junior problems

J523. Let  $a, b, c$  be real numbers. Prove that

$$(a-1)^2 + (b-1)^2 + (c-1)^2 \geq \frac{ab+bc+ca}{2} - 3.$$

*Proposed by Adrian Andreescu, University of Texas at Dallas, USA*

*Solution by Brian Bradie, Christopher Newport University, Newport News, VA, USA*

The inequality

$$(a-1)^2 + (b-1)^2 + (c-1)^2 \geq \frac{ab+bc+ca}{2} - 3$$

is equivalent to

$$(a-2)^2 + (b-2)^2 + (c-2)^2 + \frac{1}{2}(a-b)^2 + \frac{1}{2}(b-c)^2 + \frac{1}{2}(c-a)^2 \geq 0,$$

which is clearly true. Equality holds if and only if  $a = b = c = 2$ .

*Also solved by Titu Zvonaru, Comănești, Romania; Arkady Alt, San Jose, CA, USA; Murat Chashemov, Dashoguz, Turkmenistan; Andrew Yang, Hotchkiss School, Lakeville, CT, USA; Israel Castillo Pilco, Huaral, Peru; Duy Quan Tran, University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Ho Chi Minh, Vietnam; Joel Schlosberg, Bayside, NY, USA; Corneliu Mănescu-Avram, Ploiești, Romania; Dumitru Barac, Sibiu, Romania; Daniel Văcaru, Pitești, Romania; Jennisha Sunil Agrawal, DDPS, Gujarat, India; Lorenzo Benedetti, Genoa, Italy; Marin Chirciu, Colegiul Național Zinca Golescu, Pitești, Romania; Michail Prousalidis, Evangeliki Model High School of Smyrna Athens, Greece; Ioannis D. Sfikas, Athens, Greece; Todor Zaharinov, Sofia, Bulgaria; Nicușor Zlota, Traian Vuia Technical College, Focșani, Romania; Booyeon Brian Choi, Middlesex School, Concord, MA, USA; Polyhedra, Polk State College, FL, USA; Takuji Grigorovich Imaiida, Fujisawa, Kanagawa, Japan; Emirhan Yagcioglu, İhsan Doğramacı Bilkent University, Turkey; Rathindra Nath Karmakar, Indian Statistical Institute, Bangalore, India; Taes Padhary, Disha Delphi Public School, India; Nguyen Viet Hung, Hanoi University of Science, Vietnam.*

J524. Prove that

$$\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \frac{i + 2ij + j}{\sqrt{(i+1)(j+1)}} < \frac{n(n^2 - 1)}{2}$$

*Proposed by Mihaly Bencze, Brasov, Romania*

*Solution by Polyhedra, Polk State College, FL, USA*

By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, for  $n \geq 2$ ,

$$\left( \sum_{i=1}^n \sqrt{i+1} \right)^2 < n \sum_{i=1}^n (i+1) = \frac{n^2(n+3)}{2}$$

and

$$\left( \sum_{i=1}^n \sqrt{i+1} \right) \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{i+1}} \right) > \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \sqrt{i+1} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{i+1}} \right)^2 = n^2.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \frac{i + 2ij + j}{\sqrt{(i+1)(j+1)}} &= \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \left( i \sqrt{\frac{j+1}{i+1}} + j \sqrt{\frac{i+1}{j+1}} \right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n i \sqrt{\frac{j+1}{i+1}} - \sum_{k=1}^n k \\ &= \left( \sum_{j=1}^n \sqrt{j+1} \right) \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \sqrt{i+1} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{i+1}} \right) - \frac{n(n+1)}{2} \\ &< \frac{n^2(n+3)}{2} - n^2 - \frac{n(n+1)}{2} = \frac{n(n^2 - 1)}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

*Also solved by Takuji Grigorovich Imaiida, Fujisawa, Kanagawa, Japan; Booyeon Brian Choi, Middlesex School, Concord, MA, USA; Emirhan Yagcioglu, İhsan Doğramacı Bilkent University, Turkey; Rathindra Nath Karmakar, Indian Statistical Institute, Bangalore, India; Corneliu Mănescu-Avram, Ploiești, Romania; Dumitru Barac, Sibiu, Romania; Daniel Văcaru, Pitești, Romania; Nicușor Zlota, Traian Vuia Technical College, Focșani, Romania.*

J525. Prove that positive integers  $a, b, c$  are consecutive in some order if and only if

$$a^3 + b^3 + c^3 = 3(a + b + c + abc).$$

*Proposed by Adrian Andreescu, University of Texas at Dallas, USA*

*Solution by Takuji Grigorovich Imaiida, Fujisawa, Kanagawa, Japan*

Since  $a, b, c > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} a^3 + b^3 + c^3 &= 3(a + b + c + abc) \\ \Leftrightarrow (a + b + c)(a^2 + b^2 + c^2 - ab - bc - ca - 3) &= 0 \\ \Leftrightarrow (a - b)^2 + (b - c)^2 + (c - a)^2 &= 6 \\ \Leftrightarrow \{|a - b|, |b - c|, |c - a|\} &= \{1, 1, 2\}, \end{aligned}$$

we are done.

*Also solved by Polyhedra, Polk State College, FL, USA; Nguyen Viet Hung, Hanoi University of Science, Vietnam; Andrew Yang, Hotchkiss School, Lakeville, CT, USA; Israel Castillo Pilco, Huaral, Peru; Nakis Konstantinos Pantelis, Ionidios Model High School, Greece; Booyeon Brian Choi, Middlesex School, Concord, MA, USA; Emirhan Yagcioglu, İhsan Doğramacı Bilkent University, Turkey; Rathindra Nath Karmakar, Indian Statistical Institute, Bangalore, India; Taes Padhary, Disha Delphi Public School, India; Murat Chashemov, Dashoguz, Turkmenistan; Corneliu Mănescu-Avram, Ploiești, Romania; Daniel Văcaru, Pitești, Romania; Jennisha Sunil Agrawal, DDPS, Gujarat, India; Joel Schlosberg, Bayside, NY, USA; Lorenzo Benedetti, Genoa, Italy; Ioannis D. Sfikas Athens, Greece; Nicușor Zlota, Traian Vuia Technical College, Focșani, Romania; Evripides P. Nastou, 6th High School, Nea Smyrni, Greece.*

J526. Let  $ABC$  be a triangle with circumcenter  $O$ , incenter  $I$ , and excenters  $I_a, I_b, I_c$ . Prove that

$$OI^2 + OI_a^2 + OI_b^2 + OI_c^2 = 12R^2.$$

*Proposed by Nguyen Viet Hung, Hanoi University of Science, Vietnam*

*Solution by Polyhedra, Polk State College, FL, USA*

Let  $r, r_a, r_b, r_c$  be the radii of the incircle and the excircles. Suppose that  $AI$  intersects the circumcircle of  $\triangle ABC$  at  $M$ . It is well known (by angle-chasing) that  $MI = MB = MC = MI_a$ . Therefore,

$$AI \cdot IM = AM \cdot BM - BM^2 = \frac{2[ABM] - 2[IBM]}{\sin \angle AMB} = \frac{2[ABI]}{\sin C} = \frac{cr}{\sin C} = 2Rr.$$

By the power of a point,  $AI \cdot IM = R^2 - OI^2$  and  $AI_a \cdot I_aM = OI_a^2 - R^2$ , so  $OI^2 = R^2 - 2Rr$  and

$$OI_a^2 = R^2 + AI_a \cdot I_aM = R^2 + \frac{s}{s-a} AI \cdot IM = R^2 + 2Rr_a.$$

The claimed identity now follows from the well-known identity that  $r_a + r_b + r_c - r = 4R$ , which is also easy to obtain from

$$rs \left( \frac{1}{s-a} + \frac{1}{s-b} + \frac{1}{s-c} - \frac{1}{s} \right) = \frac{rsabc}{s(s-a)(s-b)(s-c)} = \frac{abc}{[ABC]} = 4R.$$

*Also solved by Takuji Grigorovich Imaiida, Fujisawa, Kanagawa, Japan; Emirhan Yagcioglu, İhsan Doğramacı Bilkent University, Turkey; Rathindra Nath Karmakar, Indian Statistical Institute, Bangalore, India; Taes Padhary, Disha Delphi Public School, India; Corneliu Mănescu-Avram, Ploiești, Romania; Daniel Văcaru, Pitești, Romania; Scott H. Brown, Auburn University Montgomery, Montgomery, AL, USA; Ioannis D. Sfikas, Athens, Greece; Todor Zaharinov, Sofia, Bulgaria; Telemachus Baltsavias, Keramies Junior High School, Kefalonia, Greece; Nicușor Zlota, Traian Vuia Technical College, Focșani, Romania; Arkady Alt, San Jose, CA, USA .*

J527. Find all  $n$  for which  $2\dots 225$  ( $n$  twos) is a perfect square.

*Proposed by Titu Andreescu, University of Texas at Dallas, USA*

*First solution by the author*

Clearly,  $n = 1$  and  $n = 2$  are solutions. For  $n \geq 3$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2\dots 25 \text{ ( } n \text{ twos )} &= 25 \cdot 8\dots 89 \text{ ( } n - 2 \text{ eights )} = \\ &= 25 \cdot (1 + 8 \cdot 1\dots 1 \text{ ( } n - 1 \text{ ones )}) = \\ &= \left(\frac{25}{9}\right) \cdot 80\dots 01 \text{ ( } n - 1 \text{ zeros )}, \end{aligned}$$

so  $80\dots 01$  ( $n - 1$  zeros) must be a perfect square. It follows that  $8 \cdot 10^{n-1} + 1 = (2k + 1)^2$ , for some positive integer  $k$ , implying  $k(k + 1) = 2^n \cdot 5^{n-1}$ . From here  $5^{n-1} \mid k$  or  $k + 1$ , hence  $k \geq 5^{n-1} - 1$  and  $2^n \geq 5^{n-1} - 1$ , impossible for  $n \geq 3$ . Thus  $n = 1$  and  $n = 2$  are the only solutions.

Second solution by Joel Schlosberg, Bayside, NY, USA

For a positive integer  $n$ , if

$$\underbrace{2 \dots 22}_n 5 = \frac{2}{9} \cdot \underbrace{9 \dots 9}_{n+1} + 3 = \frac{2}{9}(10^{n+1} - 1) + 3 = \frac{2 \cdot 10^{n+1} + 25}{9}$$

equals a perfect square  $s^2$  for  $s \in \mathbb{N}$ , then

$$(3s - 5)(3s + 5) = 9s^2 - 25 = 2^{n+2}5^{n+1}.$$

Since their difference is even,  $3s - 5$  and  $3s + 5$  are either both odd or both even; since their product is even, they are both even. Since the prime number 5 divides the product of  $3s - 5$  and  $3s + 5$ , by Proposition 30 in Book VII of Euclid's *Elements*, 5 divides  $3s - 5$  or  $3s + 5$ ; since their difference is 10,  $5 \mid 3s \pm 5$  implies that  $5 \mid 3s \mp 5$ . Then

$$\frac{3s - 5}{10} \cdot \frac{3s + 5}{10} = 2^n 5^{n-1}$$

where  $\frac{3s-5}{10}$  and  $\frac{3s+5}{10}$  are consecutive integers, and so must be relatively prime. By unique factorization,

$$\left\{ \frac{3s - 5}{10}, \frac{3s + 5}{10} \right\} = \{2^n, 5^{n-1}\}.$$

For  $n \geq 3$ ,

$$5^{n-1} - 2^n > 4^{n-1} - 2^n = (2^{n-1} - 1)^2 - 1 \geq 8,$$

so  $2^n$  and  $5^{n-1}$  cannot be consecutive integers.

Since  $25 = 5^2$  and  $225 = 15^2$ , the positive integers  $n$  such that  $\underbrace{2 \dots 22}_n 5$  is a perfect square are  $n = 1$  and  $n = 2$ .

Also solved by Lorenzo Benedetti, Genoa, Italy; Polyahedra, Polk State College, FL, USA; Corneliu Mănescu-Avram, Ploiești, Romania; Andrew Yang, Hotchkiss School, Lakeville, CT, USA; Booyeon Brian Choi, Middlesex School, Concord, MA, USA; Emirhan Yagcioglu, İhsan Doğramacı Bilkent University, Turkey; Rathindra Nath Karmakar, Indian Statistical Institute, Bangalore, India; Taes Padhary, Disha Delphi Public School, India; Daniel Văcaru, Pitești, Romania; Sailalitha Kodukula, Archimedean Middle Conservatory, Miami, FL, USA; Todor Zaharinov, Sofia, Bulgaria; Nicușor Zlota, Traian Vuia Technical College, Focșani, Romania.

J528. Let  $a, b, c$  be positive real numbers such that  $ab + bc + ca = 3$ . Prove that

$$\sum_{cyc} \frac{a^2 + b^2}{a + b + 2} \geq \frac{3(a + b + c - 1)}{4}.$$

*Proposed by Mihaela Berindeanu, București, Romania*

*Solution by Arkady Alt, San Jose, CA, USA*

By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{cyc} \frac{a^2 + b^2}{a + b + 2} &= \sum_{cyc} \frac{a^2}{a + b + 2} + \sum_{cyc} \frac{b^2}{a + b + 2} \geq \\ &\frac{(a + b + c)^2}{\sum_{cyc} (a + b + 2)} + \frac{(a + b + c)^2}{\sum_{cyc} (a + b + 2)} = \frac{2(a + b + c)^2}{2(a + b + c) + 6} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\frac{(a + b + c)^2}{a + b + c + 3} \geq \frac{3(a + b + c - 1)}{4}.$$

Now, let  $s := a + b + c$ . Then the latter inequality becomes

$$\frac{s^2}{s + 3} \geq \frac{3(s - 1)}{4} \iff 4s^2 \geq 3(s - 1)(s + 3) \iff (s - 3)^2 \geq 0.$$

*Note:*  $ab + bc + ca = 3$  is not needed for the proof.

*Also solved by Nguyen Viet Hung, Hanoi University of Science, Vietnam; Polyhedra, Polk State College, FL, USA; Brian Bradie, Christopher Newport University, Newport News, VA, USA; Israel Castillo Pilco, Huaral, Peru; Daniel Văcaru, Pitești, Romania; Corneliu Mănescu-Avram, Ploiești, Romania; Emirhan Yagcioglu, İhsan Doğramacı Bilkent University, Turkey; Rathindra Nath Karmakar, Indian Statistical Institute, Bangalore, India; Taes Padhihary, Disha Delphi Public School, India; Murat Chashemov, Dashoguz, Turkmenistan; Dumitru Barac, Sibiu, Romania; Todor Zaharinov, Sofia, Bulgaria; Nicușor Zlota, Traian Vuia Technical College, Focșani, Romania; Titu Zvonaru, Comănești, Romania.*

## Senior problems

S523. Let  $a, b, c$  in  $[1, 8]$ . Prove that

$$\left(2 - \frac{a}{b^2}\right)\left(2 - \frac{b}{c^2}\right)\left(2 - \frac{c}{a^2}\right) \leq abc.$$

*Proposed by Titu Andreescu, University of Texas at Dallas, USA*

*Solution by Emirhan Yagcioglu, İhsan Dođramacı Bilkent University, Turkey*

Let  $x = \frac{1}{a}$ ,  $y = \frac{1}{b}$  and  $z = \frac{1}{c}$ . Then it suffices to prove that

$$(2x - y^2)(2y - z^2)(2z - x^2) \leq 1, \text{ for } x, y, z \in \left[\frac{1}{8}, 1\right].$$

Since  $\frac{2 - \frac{a}{b^2}}{a} = \frac{2}{a} - \frac{1}{b^2} = 2x - y^2$  and so on. Now let  $u = 2x - y^2, v = 2y - z^2$  and  $w = 2z - x^2$ . If at least one of  $u, v, w$  is equal to 0, then it is true. So we can assume that  $u, v, w \neq 0$

If 3 or 1 of  $u, v, w$  is negative, then it is trivial, because then  $(2x - y^2)(2y - z^2)(2z - x^2)$  is negative and less than 1.

If 2 of  $u, v, w$  are negative, then assume  $u < 0, v < 0, w > 0$ . This means

$$y^2 > 2x, z^2 > 2y, 2z > x^2$$

So

$$1 \geq z^4 = (z^2)^2 > (2y)^2 = 4y^2 > 8x \Rightarrow \frac{1}{8} > x, \text{ a contradiction.}$$

If  $u, v, w$  are all positive, because of

$$x^2 - 2x + 1 \geq 0 \Rightarrow 1 \geq 2x - x^2 \Rightarrow 3 \geq (2x - x^2) + (2y - y^2) + (2z - z^2),$$

we have

$$1 \geq \frac{(2x - x^2) + (2y - y^2) + (2z - z^2)}{3} = \frac{u + v + w}{3} \geq \sqrt[3]{uvw} \Rightarrow 1 \geq uvw.$$

*Also solved by Fahreezan Sheraz Diyaldin Sleman, Special Region of Yogyakarta, Indonesia; Arkady Alt, San Jose, CA, USA; Doniyor Yazdonov, National University of Uzbekistan, Tashkent, Uzbekistan.*



S524. Find all systems  $(x, y, z, t)$  of real numbers such that

$$x(y + z + t)^2 = y(x + z + t)^2 = z(x + z + y)^2 = t(x + z + y)^2$$

*Proposed by Mircea Becheanu, Montreal, Canada*

*Solution by Joel Schlosberg, Bayside, NY, USA*

For such a system  $(x, y, z, t)$ ,

$$0 = x(y + z + t)^2 - y(x + z + t)^2 = (x - y)(z^2 + 2tz + t^2 - xy)$$

and

$$0 = z(x + y + t)^2 - t(x + y + z)^2 = (z - t)(x^2 + 2xy + y^2 - tz)$$

so if  $x \neq y$  and  $z \neq t$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= 4(z^2 + 2tz + t^2 - xy) + 4(x^2 + 2xy + y^2 - tz) \\ &= 3(x + y)^2 + (x - y)^2 + 3(z + t)^2 + (z - t)^2 > 0 \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. By the same reasoning,  $y = z$  or  $t = x$ . Therefore, at least three of  $x, y, z, t$  are equal. If  $x = y = z$ , then

$$0 = x(y + z + t)^2 - t(x + y + z)^2 = x(2x + t)^2 - t(3x)^2 = x(x - t)(4x - t)$$

so  $(x, y, z, t) = (r, r, r, r)$ ,  $(0, 0, 0, r)$  or  $(r, r, r, 4r)$  for some real  $r$ . Conversely, for any real  $r$ , all such systems satisfy the given equalities. By the same reasoning for the cases  $y = z = t$ ,  $z = t = x$  and  $t = x = y$ , the solutions of the given equalities are given by  $\{x, y, z, t\} = \{r, r, r, r\}$ ,  $\{0, 0, 0, r\}$  and  $\{r, r, r, 4r\}$  for real  $r$ .

*Also solved by Emirhan Yagcioglu, İhsan Dođramacı Bilkent University, Turkey; Rathindra Nath Karmakar, Indian Statistical Institute, Bangalore, India; Nakis Konstantinos Pantelis, Ionidios Model High School, Greece; Corneliu Mănescu-Avram, Ploiești, Romania; Ioannis D. Sfikas, Athens, Greece; Nicușor Zlota, Traian Vuia Technical College, Focșani, Romania.*

S525. Find the maximum of  $(x - 2)(y + 1)$  over all real numbers  $x$  and  $y$  satisfying  $3x^2 + 4xy + 5y^2 = 1$ .

*Proposed by Titu Andreescu, University of Texas at Dallas, USA*

*Solution by the author*

Let  $E(x, y) = (x - 2)(y + 1)$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - 2E(x, y) &= 3x^2 + 4xy + 5y^2 - 2(xy + x - 2y - 2) = \\ &= (x^2 + 2xy + y^2) + 2\left(x^2 - x + \frac{1}{4}\right) + 4\left(y^2 + y + \frac{1}{4}\right) + \frac{5}{2} = \\ &= (x + y)^2 + 2\left(x - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 + 4\left(y + \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 + \frac{5}{2} \geq \frac{5}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

with equality if and only if  $x = \frac{1}{2}$  and  $y = -\frac{1}{2}$ . Hence, the maximum of  $E(x, y)$  is  $-\frac{3}{4}$ .

*Also solved by Joel Schlosberg, Bayside, NY, USA; Emirhan Yagcioglu, İhsan Doğramacı Bilkent University, Turkey; Taes Padhiary, Disha Delphi Public School, India; Arkady Alt, San Jose, CA, USA; Ioannis D. Sfikas, Athens, Greece; Todor Zaharinov, Sofia, Bulgaria.*

S526. Let  $x, y, z$  be nonnegative real numbers. Prove that

$$x^3 + y^3 + z^3 \geq \sqrt{\frac{1}{3} \prod_{cyc} (2x^2 - xy + 2y^2)} \geq \sum_{cyc} xy(x+y) - 3xyz.$$

*Proposed by Marius Stănean, Zalău, Romania*

*Solution by the author*

For inequality on the left hand side, without loss of generality, we may assume that  $z = \min\{x, y, z\}$ . Squaring both sides and expanding, we need to prove that

$$3(x^3 + y^3 + z^3)^2 \geq (2x^2 - xy + 2y^2)(2y^2 - yz + 2z^2)(2z^2 - zx + 2x^2),$$

or

$$3 \sum_{cyc} x^6 - 8 \sum_{cyc} x^2 y^2 (x^2 + y^2) + 4xyz \sum_{cyc} x^3 + 10 \sum_{cyc} x^3 y^3 + 2 \sum_{cyc} xz(x^2 y^2 + y^2 z^2) - 15x^2 y^2 z^2 \geq 0,$$

that is

$$3 \sum_{cyc} (x^6 - y^3 z^3) - 8 \sum_{cyc} x^2 y^2 (x - y)^2 + 4xyz \left( \sum_{cyc} x^3 - 3xyz \right) - 3 \left( \sum_{cyc} x^3 y^3 - 3x^2 y^2 z^2 \right) + 2xyz \sum_{cyc} z(x - y)^2 \geq 0.$$

We have the following SOS-Schur representations,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{cyc} (x^6 - y^3 z^3) &= (x^2 + xy + y^2)^2 (x - y)^2 + (y^2 + yz + z^2)(z^2 + zx + x^2)(x - z)(y - z), \\ \sum_{cyc} x^2 y^2 (x - y)^2 &= (x^2 y^2 + y^2 z^2 + z^2 x^2 + z^2(xy - zx - zy))(x - y)^2 + z^2(x^2 + y^2)(x - z)(y - z), \\ \sum_{cyc} x^3 - 3xyz &= (x + y + z)(x - y)^2 + (x + y + z)(x - z)(y - z), \\ \sum_{cyc} x^3 y^3 - 3x^2 y^2 z^2 &= (xy + yz + zx)z^2(x - y)^2 + (xy + yz + zx)xy(x - z)(y - z), \\ \sum_{cyc} z(x - y)^2 &= 2z(x - y)^2 + (x + y)(x - z)(y - z). \end{aligned}$$

So, our inequality can be written in SOS-Schur form as follows

$$A(x, y, z)(x - y)^2 + B(x, y, z)(x - z)(y - z) \geq 0,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A(x, y, z) &= 3(x^2 + xy + y^2)^2 - 8(x^2 y^2 + y^2 z^2 + z^2 x^2 + z^2(xy - zx - zy)) \\ &\quad + 4xyz(x + y + z) - 3z^2(xy + yz + zx) + 4xyz^2 \\ &= 3(x^4 + y^4) + 6xy(x^2 + y^2) + x^2 y^2 - 8z^2(x^2 + y^2) + xyz(4x + 4y - 3z) + 5(x + y)z^3 \\ &\geq 9xy(x^2 + y^2) - 8z^2(x^2 + y^2) + x^2 y^2 + xyz(4x + 4y - 3z) + 5(x + y)z^3 \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} B(x, y, z) &= 3(y^2 + yz + z^2)(z^2 + zx + x^2) - 8z^2(x^2 + y^2) + 4xyz(x + y + z) \\ &\quad - 3xy(xy + yz + zx) + 2xyz(x + y) \\ &= z(6x^2 y + 6y^2 x - 5x^2 z - 5y^2 z + 7xyz + 3(x + y)z^2 + 3z^3) \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Our proof is complete. Equality holds when  $x = y = z$  or  $x = y, z = 0$ .

We will focus on the right hand side. For a start, let's note that

$$2x^2 - xy + 2y^2 = \frac{3(x+y)^2 + 5(x-y)^2}{4},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & (2y^2 - yz + 2z^2)(2z^2 - zx + 2x^2) \\ &= 4z^4 - 2(x+y)z^3 + (4x^2 + xy + 4y^2)z^2 - 2xy(x+y)z + 4x^2y^2 \\ &= 4z^4 + 4x^2y^2 - 2(x+y)z(z^2 + xy) + (4x^2 + xy + 4y^2)z^2 \\ &= 4(z^2 + xy)^2 - 2(z^2 + xy)(x+y)z + \frac{(x+y)^2z^2}{4} + \frac{15}{4}z^2(x-y)^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{4}(4z^2 + 4xy - xz - yz)^2 + \frac{15}{4}z^2(x-y)^2. \end{aligned}$$

By the Cauchy-Schwarz Inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{cyc} (2x^2 - xy + 2y^2) &= \frac{1}{16} (3(x+y)^2 + 5(x-y)^2) ((4z^2 + 4xy - xz - yz)^2 + 15z^2(x-y)^2) \\ &\geq \frac{3}{16} [(x+y)(4z^2 + 4xy - xz - yz) + 5z(x-y)^2]^2 \\ &= \frac{3}{16} [4(x^2y + xy^2 + y^2z + yz^2 + z^2x + zx^2 - 3xyz)]^2 \\ &= 3[xy(x+y) + yz(y+z) + zx(z+x) - 3xyz]^2. \end{aligned}$$

Equality holds when  $x = y = z$  or  $x = y, z = 0$ .

*Also solved by Nicușor Zlota, Traian Vuia Technical College, Focșani, Romania.*

S527. Let  $ABC$  be a triangle with  $AB = AC$  and  $\angle A = 90^\circ$ . Points  $E$  and  $F$  are given inside the angle  $\angle BAC$  such that  $\angle EAF = \angle EAB + \angle FAC$  and  $BE \parallel CF$ . Prove that

$$EF^2 = BE^2 + CF^2.$$

*Proposed by Mihai Miculita, Oradea, Romania*

*Solution by Emirhan Yagcioglu, İhsan Doğramacı Bilkent University, Turkey*

Lets take a point  $D$ , inside the angle  $BAC$ , such that  $AB = AC = AD$  and  $\angle DAF = \angle CAF$ ,  $\angle DAE = \angle BAE$ . This is possible since the given information. Then this point  $D$  is the reflections of  $C$  and  $B$ , over  $AF$  and  $AE$ , respectively. So  $FD = FC$  and  $ED = EB$ .

Also a single angle chasing gives us

$$\angle FDE = \angle FCA + \angle EBA = \angle BCA + \angle CBA = 90^\circ.$$

So the triangle  $FDE$  is right triangle. Hence

$$EF^2 = FD^2 + ED^2 = FC^2 + EB^2.$$

*Also solved by Taes Padhary, Disha Delphi Public School, India; Fahreezan Sheraz Diyaldin Sleman, Special Region of Yogyakarta, Indonesia; Ervin Macić, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Vogosca, Sarajevo, Bosnia and Herzegovina; Corneliu Mănescu-Avram, Ploiești, Romania; Murat Chashemov, Dashoguz, Turkmenistan; Todor Zaharinov, Sofia, Bulgaria; Nicușor Zlota, Traian Vuia Technical College, Focșani, Romania.*

S528. Let  $a, b, c$  be positive real numbers such that

$$\frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b} + \frac{1}{c} = \frac{11}{a+b+c}.$$

Find the minimum of

$$(a^4 + b^4 + c^4) \left( \frac{1}{a^4} + \frac{1}{b^4} + \frac{1}{c^4} \right).$$

*Proposed by Nguyen Viet Hung, Hanoi University of Science, Vietnam*

*Solution by Emirhan Yagcioglu, İhsan Dođramacı Bilkent University, Turkey*

We have  $(a + b + c) \left( \frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b} + \frac{1}{c} \right) = 11 \Rightarrow \sum_{cyc} \frac{a}{b} + \sum_{cyc} \frac{a}{c} = 8$ . Let  $\sum_{cyc} \frac{a}{b} = x$ ,  $\sum_{cyc} \frac{a}{c} = y$ ,  $x + y = 8$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{cyc} \frac{a^4}{b^4} &= \left( \sum_{cyc} \frac{a^2}{b^2} \right)^2 - 2 \left( \sum_{cyc} \frac{a^2}{c^2} \right) \\ &= \left( \left( \sum_{cyc} \frac{a}{b} \right)^2 - 2 \left( \sum_{cyc} \frac{a}{c} \right) \right)^2 - 2 \left( \left( \sum_{cyc} \frac{a}{c} \right)^2 - 2 \left( \sum_{cyc} \frac{a}{b} \right) \right) \\ &= (x^2 - 2y)^2 - 2(y^2 - 2x) \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\sum_{cyc} \frac{a^4}{c^4} = (y^2 - 2x)^2 - 2(x^2 - 2y)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{cyc} \frac{a^4}{b^4} + \sum_{cyc} \frac{a^4}{c^4} &= (x^2 - 2y)^2 - 2(y^2 - 2x) + (y^2 - 2x)^2 - 2(x^2 - 2y) \\ &= (x^2 - 2y - 1)^2 + (y^2 - 2x - 1)^2 - 2 \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2}(x^2 - 2x + y^2 - 2y - 2)^2 - 2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2}((x-1)^2 + (y-1)^2 - 4)^2 - 2 \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2}((x-1) + (y-1))^2 - 4 \right)^2 - 2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2}(x+y-2)^2 - 4 \right)^2 - 2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2}6^2 - 4 \right)^2 - 2 \\ &= 96. \end{aligned}$$

Finally,

$$(a^4 + b^4 + c^4) \left( \frac{1}{a^4} + \frac{1}{b^4} + \frac{1}{c^4} \right) = \sum_{cyc} \frac{a^4}{b^4} + \sum_{cyc} \frac{a^4}{c^4} + 3 \geq 99.$$

Equality occurs when  $a = 1, b = 1, c = \frac{3}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{5}}{2}$ .

*Also solved by Israel Castillo Pilco, Huaral, Peru; Corneliu Mănescu-Avram, Ploiești, Romania; Ioannis D. Sfikas, Athens, Greece; Todor Zaharinov, Sofia, Bulgaria; Nicușor Zlota, Traian Vuia Technical College, Focșani, Romania.*

## Undergraduate problems

U523. Prove that for each nonnegative integer  $n$ ,

$$\prod_{k=1}^{10^n-1} \left( \frac{4(2k+1)}{2k^2-2k+1} + 1 \right)$$

is a positive integer whose sum of digits is 5.

*Proposed by Titu Andreescu, University of Texas at Dallas, USA*

*Solution by the author*

$$\frac{4(2k+1)}{2k^2-2k+1} + 1 = \frac{2k^2+6k+5}{2k^2-2k+1}$$

Because

$$(2k^2-2k+1)(2k^2+2k+1) = (2k^2+1)^2 - (2k)^2 = 4k^4+1$$

and

$$(2k^2+6k+5)(2k^2+2k+1) = 4(k^4+4k^3+6k^2+4k+1) + 1 = 4(k+1)^4+1,$$

the product becomes

$$\prod_{k=0}^{10^n-1} \frac{4(k+1)^4+1}{4k^4+1}.$$

and telescopes to  $4 \times (10^n - 1 + 1)^4 + 1 = 400\dots01$ , with  $4n - 1$  zeros. Hence the conclusion.

*Also solved by Ángel Plaza, University of Las Palmas de Gran Canaria, Spain; Olimjon Jalilov, National University of Uzbekistan, Tashkent, Uzbekistan; Andrew Yang, Hotchkiss School, Lakeville, CT, USA; Booyeon Brian Choi, Middlesex School, Concord, MA, USA; Emirhan Yagcioglu, İhsan Doğramacı Bilkent University, Turkey; Rathindra Nath Karmakar, Indian Statistical Institute, Bangalore, India; Murat Chashemov, Dashoguz, Turkmenistan; Brian Bradie, Christopher Newport University, Newport News, VA, USA; Nguyen Viet Hung, Hanoi University of Science, Vietnam; Davrbek Oltiboev, National University of Uzbekistan, Tashkent, Uzbekistan; Corneliu Mănescu-Avram, Ploiești, Romania; Dumitru Barac, Sibiu, Romania; Maiteyo Bhattacharjee, IACS, Kolkata; Kelvin Kim, Bergen Catholic High School, Oradell, NJ, USA; Nicușor Zlota, Traian Vuia Technical College, Focșani, Romania.*

U524. Prove that for all integers  $n > 2$ ,

$$2 - \frac{1}{n!} < \left(1 + \frac{1}{2!}\right) \left(1 + \frac{2}{3!}\right) \dots \left(1 + \frac{n-1}{n!}\right) < 3.$$

*Proposed by Nguyen Viet Hung, Hanoi University of Science, Vietnam*

*First solution by Ángel Plaza, University of Las Palmas de Gran Canaria, Spain*

Let us denote  $L_n = 2 - \frac{1}{n!}$  and  $M_n = \left(1 + \frac{1}{2!}\right) \left(1 + \frac{2}{3!}\right) \dots \left(1 + \frac{n-1}{n!}\right)$ . For  $n = 3$ ,  $L_3 = \frac{11}{6}$ , and  $M_n = 2$ , so  $L_3 < M_3 < 3$ .

Let us suppose that the left-hand side inequality proposed hold for  $n > 2$ . In order to prove that it also hold for  $n + 1$  it is enough to see that

$$\begin{aligned} 2 - \frac{1}{(n+1)!} - 2 + \frac{1}{n!} &\leq M_n \left(1 + \frac{n}{(n+1)!} - 1\right) \\ \frac{1}{n!} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n+1}\right) &\leq M_n \cdot \frac{n}{(n+1)!} \\ \frac{n}{(n+1)!} &\leq M_n \cdot \frac{n}{(n+1)!}, \end{aligned}$$

which it is true because  $M_n > 1$ .

In order to prove the right-hand side proposed inequality it is enough to see that  $\prod_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{n-1}{n!}\right) < 3$ , since  $\{M_n\}_{n \geq 3}$  is an increasing sequence. We may proceed as follows

$$\ln \prod_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{n-1}{n!}\right) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \ln \left(1 + \frac{n-1}{n!}\right) < \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{n-1}{n!} = 1$$

because  $\ln(1+x) < x$  for  $x > 0$  and  $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{n-1}{n!} x^n = e^x(x-1) + 1$ . Therefore,  $\prod_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{n-1}{n!}\right) < e < 3$  and the problem is done.



Second solution by Rathindra Nath Karmakar, Indian Statistical Institute, Bangalore, India  
 Suppose for  $k > 1$ ,  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_k$  are positive real numbers, then

$$\begin{aligned} (1 + \alpha_1)(1 + \alpha_2) \dots (1 + \alpha_k) &= 1 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_k + \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} \alpha_i \alpha_j + \dots \\ &\geq 1 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_k + \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} \alpha_i \alpha_j \\ &> 1 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_k \end{aligned}$$

(The  $k > 1$  condition was necessary to ensure that cross-terms arise in the expansion). Applying this with  $\alpha_i = \frac{i}{(i+1)!}$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \left( 1 + \frac{i}{(i+1)!} \right) &> 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{i}{(i+1)!} \\ &= 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left( \frac{1}{i!} - \frac{1}{(i+1)!} \right) \\ &= 1 + \frac{1}{1!} - \frac{1}{n!} \\ &= 2 - \frac{1}{n!} \end{aligned}$$

By AM-GM inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \left( 1 + \frac{i}{(i+1)!} \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} &\leq \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left( 1 + \frac{i}{(i+1)!} \right) \\ &= \frac{n-1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{i}{(i+1)!}}{n-1} \\ &= \frac{n-2 + 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{i}{(i+1)!}}{n-1} \\ &= \frac{n-2 + 2 - \frac{1}{n!}}{n-1} \quad (\text{evaluated earlier}) \\ &= \frac{n-1 + 1 - \frac{1}{n!}}{n-1} \\ &\leq \frac{n-1 + 1}{n-1} \\ &= 1 + \frac{1}{n-1} \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \left( 1 + \frac{i}{(i+1)!} \right) \leq \left( 1 + \frac{1}{n-1} \right)^{n-1}$$

Observe that  $(1+\alpha_1)(1+\alpha_2)\dots(1+\alpha_n)(1+\alpha_{n+1})-(1+\alpha_1)(1+\alpha_2)\dots(1+\alpha_n) = (1+\alpha_1)(1+\alpha_2)\dots(1+\alpha_n)\alpha_{n+1} \geq 0$ , hence the given sequence is monotonically increasing. Therefore, for every  $m \geq n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \left(1 + \frac{i}{(i+1)!}\right) &\leq \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} \left(1 + \frac{i}{(i+1)!}\right) \\ &\leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{m-1}\right)^{m-1} \end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , we get

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \left(1 + \frac{i}{(i+1)!}\right) \leq e < 3$$

*Also solved by Olimjon Jalilov, National University of Uzbekistan, Tashkent, Uzbekistan; Davrbek Oltiboev, National University of Uzbekistan, Tashkent, Uzbekistan; Booyeon Brian Choi, Middlesex School, Concord, MA, USA; Emirhan Yagcioglu, İhsan Doğramacı Bilkent University, Turkey; Taes Padhary, Disha Delphi Public School, India; Fahreezan Sheraz Diyaldin Sleman, Special Region of Yogyakarta, Indonesia; Toyesh Prakash Sharma, St. C.F Andrews School, Agra, India; Corneliu Mănescu-Avram, Ploiești, Romania; Daniel Văcaru, Pitești, Romania; Ioannis D. Sfikas, Athens, Greece; Nicușor Zlota, Traian Vuia Technical College, Focșani, Romania; Arkady Alt, San Jose, CA, USA.*

U525. Let  $P(x)$  be a polynomial of degree  $d$  with integer coefficients and let  $d_1, \dots, d_k$  be distinct integers. Prove that for any positive integer  $k \leq d$  there are unique integers  $a_1, \dots, a_k$ , not all zero, with  $\gcd(a_1, \dots, a_k) = 1$  and such that the polynomial  $a_1P(x + d_1) + \dots + a_kP(x + d_k)$  has degree  $d - k + 1$ .

*Proposed by Navid Safaei, Sharif University of Technology, Tehran, Iran*

*First solution by Li Zhou, Polk State College, USA*

By Taylor's expansion centered at  $x$ , we have

$$P(x + c) = \sum_{i=0}^d \frac{P^{(i)}(x)}{i!} c^i,$$

and thus

$$b_1P(x + d_1) + \dots + b_kP(x + d_k) = \sum_{i=0}^d \frac{b_1d_1^i + \dots + b_kd_k^i}{i!} P^{(i)}(x).$$

Let

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \\ \vdots \\ b_k \end{bmatrix}, \quad D = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ d_1 & d_2 & \dots & d_k \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ d_1^{k-1} & d_2^{k-1} & \dots & d_k^{k-1} \end{bmatrix}, \quad E = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Setting  $DB = E$  and using Cramer's rule and Vandermonde's determinants, we get  $b_i = 1/q_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , where  $q_i = \prod_{j \in \{1, \dots, k\} \setminus \{i\}} (d_j - d_i)$ . Finally, let  $a_i = b_i \text{lcm}(q_1, \dots, q_k)$ , then  $a_i \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$  for each  $i$ ,  $\gcd(a_1, \dots, a_k) = 1$ , and  $a_1P(x + d_1) + \dots + a_kP(x + d_k)$  has degree  $d - k + 1$ . This  $(a_1, \dots, a_k)$  is clearly unique up to a sign.

*Second solution by the author*

First, assume that the degree of polynomial  $Q(x) = a_1P(x + d_1) + \dots + a_kP(x + d_k)$  is at most  $d - k$ . Therefore,

$$Q(x) = (a_1 + \dots + a_k)P(x) + (a_1d_1 + \dots + a_kd_k)P'(x) + \frac{1}{2!}(a_1d_1^2 + \dots + a_kd_k^2)P''(x) + \dots + \frac{1}{(k-1)!}(a_1d_1^{k-1} + \dots + a_kd_k^{k-1})P^{(k-1)}(x) + R(x).$$

Where  $R(x)$  is a polynomial of degree at most  $d - k$ . Therefore, we should have

$$a_1 + \dots + a_k = a_1d_1 + \dots + a_kd_k = \dots = a_1d_1^{k-1} + \dots + a_kd_k^{k-1} = 0.$$

Now, consider the polynomial  $f_1(x) = (x - d_2) \dots (x - d_k) = x^{k-1} + c_{k-2}x^{k-2} + \dots + c_0$ . Multiplying the first equation by  $c_0$ , the second by  $c_1$  and the last one by  $c - 2$  and adding them up results into

$$a_1f_1(d_1) + a_2f_1(d_2) + \dots + a_kf_1(d_k) = 0.$$

Since  $f_1(d_2) = \dots = f_1(d_k) = 0$ ,  $f_1(d_1) \neq 0$ , we find that  $a_1 = 0$ . Analogously,  $a_2 = \dots = a_k = 0$ . Absurd.

Now, we prove that there are unique (apart from sign) non-zero integers  $a_1, \dots, a_k$ ,  $\gcd(a_1, \dots, a_k) = 1$  such that

$$a_1, \dots, a_k = a_1d_1 + \dots + a_kd_k = \dots = a_1d_1^{k-2} + \dots + a_kd_k^{k-2} = 0$$

Assume that  $a_1d_1^{k-1} + \dots + a_kd_k^{k-1} = S(a_1, \dots, a_k)$ . By the same logic we find that

$$a_1f_1(d_1) + a_2f_1(d_2) + \dots + a_kf_1(d_k) = S(a_1, \dots, a_k).$$

Hence,  $a_1f_1(d_1) = S(a_1, \dots, a_k)$ . With  $S(a_1, \dots, a_k)$  being a linear polynomial of  $a_1, \dots, a_k$  with integer coefficients. Therefore,  $a_1 = \frac{S(a_1, \dots, a_k)}{f_1(d_1)}$ ,  $f_1(d_1) \in \mathbb{Q}$ .

Next, for each choice of  $S(a_1, \dots, a_k)$  we can find  $a_1, \dots, a_k$ . Using the same argument we find that there is a non-trivial solution  $(b_1, \dots, b_k)$  with  $b_1, \dots, b_k \in \mathbb{Q}$ . Further, all the solutions can be obtained by multiplying this solution by  $r \in \mathbb{Q}$ . We choose to multiply  $(b_1, \dots, b_k)$  by the least common multiple of the denominators of  $a_1, \dots, a_k$ , that is, we get to the solution  $(a_1, \dots, a_k)$  such that  $a_1, \dots, a_k$  is integer. We can divide this by the greatest common divisor of  $a_1, \dots, a_k$  to get the integer solution  $a_1, \dots, a_k$ ,  $\gcd(a_1, \dots, a_k) = 1$ .

Finally, if for example  $a_1 = 0$ , we eventually arrive at the system

$$a_2 + \dots + a_k = a_2d_2 + \dots + a_kd_k = \dots = a_2d_2^{k-2} + \dots + a_kd_k^{k-2} = 0,$$

which gives the solution  $a_2 = \dots = a_k = 0$  and we are done.

*Note:* The same argument remains true for the field  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ .

U526. Evaluate

$$\int_0^2 \frac{1}{t} \arctan \frac{3t}{t^2 + 4} dt.$$

*Proposed by Olimjon Jalilov, National University of Uzbekistan, Tashkent, Uzbekistan*

*Solution by Brian Bradie, Christopher Newport University, Newport News, VA, USA*

Note

$$\arctan t - \arctan \frac{t}{4} = \arctan \frac{t - \frac{t}{4}}{1 + \frac{t^2}{4}} = \arctan \frac{3t}{t^2 + 4}.$$

Therefore,

$$\int_0^2 \frac{1}{t} \arctan \frac{3t}{t^2 + 4} dt = \int_0^2 \frac{1}{t} \left( \arctan t - \arctan \frac{t}{4} \right) dt.$$

Now,

$$\int_0^2 \frac{1}{t} \arctan \frac{t}{4} dt = \int_0^{1/2} \frac{1}{t} \arctan t dt,$$

so

$$\int_0^2 \frac{1}{t} \arctan \frac{3t}{t^2 + 4} dt = \int_{1/2}^2 \frac{1}{t} \arctan t dt.$$

By integration by parts,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{1/2}^2 \frac{1}{t} \arctan t dt &= \ln t \arctan t \Big|_{1/2}^2 - \int_{1/2}^2 \frac{\ln t}{1+t^2} dt \\ &= \ln 2 \arctan 2 - \ln \frac{1}{2} \arctan \frac{1}{2} - \int_{1/2}^2 \frac{\ln t}{1+t^2} dt \\ &= \ln 2 \left( \arctan 2 + \arctan \frac{1}{2} \right) - \int_{1/2}^2 \frac{\ln t}{1+t^2} dt \\ &= \frac{\pi \ln 2}{2} - \int_{1/2}^2 \frac{\ln t}{1+t^2} dt. \end{aligned}$$

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{1/2}^2 \frac{\ln t}{1+t^2} dt &= \int_{1/2}^1 \frac{\ln t}{1+t^2} dt + \int_1^2 \frac{\ln t}{1+t^2} dt \\ &= - \int_1^2 \frac{\ln t}{1+t^2} dt + \int_1^2 \frac{\ln t}{1+t^2} dt \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\int_0^2 \frac{1}{t} \arctan \frac{3t}{t^2 + 4} dt = \frac{\pi \ln 2}{2}.$$

*Also solved by Davrbek Oltiboev, National University of Uzbekistan, Tashkent, Uzbekistan; Joe Simons Utah Valley University Orem, UT, USA; Ioannis D. Sfikas, Athens, Greece; Nicușor Zlota, Traian Vuia Technical College, Focșani, Romania; Toyesh Prakash Sharma, St. C.F Andrews School, Agra, India.*

U527. Find the smallest constant  $C$  such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{k}{k^4 + 4} < C.$$

*Proposed by Nguyen Viet Hung, Hanoi University of Science, Vietnam*

*Solution by Henry Ricardo, Westchester Area Math Circle*

Let  $f(k) = 1/(k^2 - 2k + 2)$ , then  $f(k + 2) = 1/(k^2 + 2k + 2)$ , we have a telescoping series:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{k}{k^4 + 4} &= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=1}^n (f(k) - g(k)) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=1}^n (f(k) - f(k + 2)) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2} - f(n + 2) - f(n + 1) \right) \\ &= \frac{3}{8} - \frac{1}{4} \left( \frac{1}{n^2 + 2n + 2} + \frac{1}{n^2 + 1} \right), \end{aligned}$$

so that  $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{k}{k^4 + 4} \right\} = \frac{3}{8}$ .

*Also solved by Corneliu Mănescu-Avram, Ploiești, Romania; Israel Castillo Pilco, Huaral, Peru; Booyeon Brian Choi, Middlesex School, Concord, MA, USA; Rathindra Nath Karmakar, Indian Statistical Institute, Bangalore, India; Taes Padhiary, Disha Delphi Public School, India; Brian Bradie, Christopher Newport University, Newport News, VA, USA; Kelvin Kim, Bergen Catholic High School, Oradell, NJ, USA; Davrbek Oltiboev, National University of Uzbekistan, Tashkent, Uzbekistan; Ángel Plaza, University of Las Palmas de Gran Canaria, Spain; Dumitru Barac, Sibiu, Romania; Olimjon Jalilov, National University of Uzbekistan, Tashkent, Uzbekistan; Ioannis D. Sfikas, Athens, Greece; Nicușor Zlota, Traian Vuia Technical College, Focșani, Romania; Telemachus Baltsavias, Keramies Junior High School, Kefalonia, Greece; Arkady Alt, San Jose, CA, USA.*

U528. Consider the double sequence

$$s(m, n) := \frac{m-1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\left\lfloor \frac{3k}{n}(m-1) \right\rfloor + 3}{\left( \left\lfloor 1 + \frac{k}{n}(m-1) \right\rfloor \right)!}.$$

Find  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} s(m, n)$  and  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s(m, n)$ .

*Proposed by Besfort Shala, University of Primorska, Koper, Slovenia*

*Solution by the author*

We will show that the first limit is zero and that the second is  $4e - 1$ , showing that this is yet another example where limiting processes do not commute. We start off with

$$0 \leq s(m, n) = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{(m-1) \left( \left\lfloor \frac{3k}{n}(m-1) \right\rfloor + 3 \right)}{n \left( \left\lfloor 1 + \frac{k}{n}(m-1) \right\rfloor \right)!} < \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{(m-1) \left( \frac{3k(m-1)}{n} + 3 \right)}{n \Gamma \left( 1 + \frac{k}{n}(m-1) - 1 + 1 \right)},$$

for large enough  $m$ , where we used the inequalities  $x - 1 < |x| \leq x$  and the fact that the Gamma function  $\Gamma$  is increasing for large enough arguments. Letting  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} s(m, n) &\leq \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{(m-1) \left( \frac{3k(m-1)}{n} + 3 \right)}{n \Gamma \left( 1 + \frac{k}{n}(m-1) \right)} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(m-1) \left( \frac{3k(m-1)}{n} + 3 \right)}{n \Gamma \left( 1 + \frac{k}{n}(m-1) \right)} \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

since  $\Gamma \left( 1 + \frac{k}{n}(m-1) \right)$  in the denominator dominates the quadratic in  $m$  in the numerator as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , for any  $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  (note that  $n$  is fixed here). So,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} s(m, n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 0 = 0.$$

On the other hand, for a fixed  $m$ , we recognize  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s(m, n)$  as a limit of Riemann sums over the interval  $[1, m]$  with sample points  $x_k = 1 + \frac{k}{n}(m-1)$  of the function  $f(x) = \frac{\lfloor 3x \rfloor}{(\lfloor x \rfloor)!}$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s(m, n) &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{[1, m]} \frac{\lfloor 3x \rfloor}{(\lfloor x \rfloor)!} dx = \int_{[1, \infty)} \frac{\lfloor 3x \rfloor}{(\lfloor x \rfloor)!} dx = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{[k, k+1]} \frac{\lfloor 3x \rfloor}{k!} dx = \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \left( \sum_{i=0}^2 \int_{k+i/3}^{k+(i+1)/3} (3k+i) dx \right) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} (3k+1) = 3 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(k-1)!} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \\ &= 3e + (e-1) = 4e - 1. \end{aligned}$$

We are done!

## Olympiad problems

O523. Let  $C_1(O_1, r_1)$  and  $C_2(O_2, r_2)$  be two external circles, the line  $O_1O_2$  intersects the circumference of  $C_1$  at a point  $A$  and  $d = O_1O_2 - r_1 - r_2 \geq 0$ . Prove that for any points  $M \in C_1$  and  $N \in C_2$  the inequality  $MN \geq MA$  holds true if and only if  $r_1r_2^2 \leq d(d+r_2)(d+2r_2)$ .

*Proposed by Oleg Muskarov, Sofia, Bulgaria*

*First solution by Li Zhou, Polk State College, USA*

in the statement,  $A$  should be between  $O_1$  and  $O_2$ . Let  $t = \frac{1}{2} \angle O_2O_1M \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$ , then  $MA = 2r_1 \sin t$  and

$$MO_2 = \sqrt{(d+r_2+r_1)^2 + r_1^2 - 2r_1(d+r_2+r_1)\cos 2t}.$$

Hence,  $MO_2 \geq r_2 + MA$  if and only if

$$(d+r_2+r_1)^2 + r_1^2 - 2r_1(d+r_2+r_1)(1-2\sin^2 t) \geq r_2^2 + 4r_1r_2 \sin t + 4r_1^2 \sin^2 t,$$

which simplifies to

$$\left(\sin t - \frac{r_2}{2(d+r_2)}\right)^2 + \frac{d(d+r_2)(d+2r_2) - r_1r_2^2}{4r_1(d+r_2)^2} \geq 0.$$

Therefore,  $MN \geq MA$  for all  $M$  and  $N$ , if and only if  $MO_2 \geq r_2 + MA$  for all  $M$  (that is, for all  $t$ ), if and only if  $r_1r_2^2 \leq d(d+r_2)(d+2r_2)$ .



*Second solution by the author*

Let  $M \in C_1$  be a fixed point. Set  $MA = t$  and  $\angle MAO_1 = \alpha$ . Then  $-90^\circ < \alpha \leq 90^\circ$ , and  $0 \leq t \leq 2r_1 \cos \alpha$ . Let  $N \in C_2$  be an arbitrary point and denote by  $M_0$  the intersection point of the line  $MO_2$  and the circumference of  $C_2$ . Then  $MN \geq MM_0$  and we have to prove that  $MM_0 \geq MA$  for every point  $M \in C_1$  if and only if  $r_1 r_2^2 \leq d(d+r_2)(d+2r_2)$ . To do this we use the cosine law for triangle  $MAO_2$  and obtain

$$MO_2 = \sqrt{t^2 + (d+r_2)^2 + 2t(d+r_2) \cos \alpha}.$$

Having in mind that  $MM_0 = MO_2 - r_2$  and  $MA = t$  it follows that the inequality  $MM_0 \geq MA$  is equivalent to

$$\sqrt{t^2 + (d+r_2)^2 + 2t(d+r_2) \cos \alpha} \geq t + r_2.$$

Squaring both sides of this inequality we see easily that it is equivalent to the inequality

$$\frac{d^2 + 2dr_2}{d+r_2} \geq 2t\left(\frac{r_2}{d+r_2} - \cos \alpha\right) \quad (*)$$

for all  $-90^\circ < \alpha \leq 90^\circ$  and  $0 \leq t \leq 2r_1 \cos \alpha$ . The inequality (\*) is obviously true for

$$\cos \alpha \geq \frac{r_2}{d+r_2}$$

and we may assume that

$$0 \leq \cos \alpha \leq \frac{r_2}{d+r_2}.$$

In this case we have

$$2t\left(\frac{r_2}{d+r_2} - \cos \alpha\right) \leq 4r_1 \cos \alpha \left(\frac{r_2}{d+r_2} - \cos \alpha\right) \leq \frac{r_1 r_2^2}{(d+r_2)^2}.$$

This shows that (\*) holds true for all  $-90^\circ < \alpha \leq 90^\circ$  and  $0 \leq t \leq 2r_1 \cos \alpha$  if and only if

$$\frac{d^2 + 2dr_2}{d+r_2} \geq \frac{r_1 r_2^2}{(d+r_2)^2}$$

which can be written as

$$r_1 r_2^2 \leq d(d+r_2)(d+2r_2).$$

O524. Let  $x, y, z$  be positive real numbers such that  $x^4 + y^4 + z^4 = 3$ . Prove that

$$\sqrt{\frac{yz}{7-2x}} + \sqrt{\frac{zx}{7-2y}} + \sqrt{\frac{xy}{7-2z}} \leq \frac{3\sqrt{5}}{5}.$$

*Proposed by Hoang Le Nhat Tung, Hanoi, Vietnam*

*Solution by the author*

From the AM-GM inequality we get

$$\begin{aligned} 7-2x &= 3-2x+4 = x^4+y^4+z^4-2x+4 = \\ &(x^4-2x^2+1) + (x^2-2x+1) + x^2 + (y^4+z^4+1+1) = \\ &(x^2-1)^2 + (x-1)^2 + x^2 + (y^4+z^4+1+1) \geq \\ &x^2 + 4\sqrt[4]{y^4z^4} = x^2 + 4yz \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow 7-2x \geq x^2 + 4yz \Leftrightarrow \frac{1}{7-2x} \leq \frac{1}{x^2 + 4yz} \Leftrightarrow \frac{yz}{7-2x} \leq \frac{yz}{x^2 + 4yz} \Leftrightarrow \sqrt{\frac{yz}{7-2x}} \leq \sqrt{\frac{yz}{x^2 + 4yz}}.$$

Analogously for the permutations.

$$\text{Hence, } P = \sqrt{\frac{yz}{7-2x}} + \sqrt{\frac{zx}{7-2y}} + \sqrt{\frac{xy}{7-2z}} \leq \sqrt{\frac{yz}{x^2 + 4yz}} + \sqrt{\frac{zx}{y^2 + 4zx}} + \sqrt{\frac{xy}{z^2 + 4xy}}$$

Then,

$$P \leq \sqrt{3 \left( \frac{yz}{x^2 + 4yz} + \frac{zx}{y^2 + 4zx} + \frac{xy}{z^2 + 4xy} \right)} \tag{1}$$

By Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we have

$$\frac{x^2}{x^2 + 4yz} + \frac{y^2}{y^2 + 4zx} + \frac{z^2}{z^2 + 4xy} \geq \frac{(x+y+z)^2}{x^2 + 4yz + y^2 + 4zx + z^2 + 4xy} = \frac{(x+y+z)^2}{(x+y+z)^2 + 2(xy+yz+zx)}. \tag{2}$$

Using inequality  $xy + yz + zx \leq \frac{(x+y+z)^2}{3}$  and (2) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{x^2}{x^2 + 4yz} + \frac{y^2}{y^2 + 4zx} + \frac{z^2}{z^2 + 4xy} &\geq \frac{(x+y+z)^2}{(x+y+z)^2 + 2\frac{(x+y+z)^2}{3}} = \frac{3}{5} \Leftrightarrow \\ \left(1 - \frac{x^2}{x^2 + 4yz}\right) + \left(1 - \frac{y^2}{y^2 + 4zx}\right) + \left(1 - \frac{z^2}{z^2 + 4xy}\right) &\leq 3 - \frac{3}{5} = \frac{12}{5} \Leftrightarrow \\ \frac{yz}{x^2 + 4yz} + \frac{zx}{y^2 + 4zx} + \frac{xy}{z^2 + 4xy} &\leq \frac{3}{5}. \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

From (1) and (3) it follows that

$$P \leq \sqrt{3 \cdot \frac{3}{5}}$$

and the conclusion follows. Equality occurs if and only if  $x = y = z = 1$ .

*Also solved by Nicușor Zlota, Traian Vuia Technical College, Focșani, Romania; Ioannis D. Sfikas, Athens, Greece.*

O525. For any two number sets  $A$  and  $B$  define their sum as  $A + B = \{a + b : a \in A \text{ and } b \in B\}$ , and their difference as  $A - B = \{a - b : a \in A \text{ and } b \in B\}$ . Let  $P = \{2, 3, 5, 7, 11, \dots\}$  be the set of primes and  $S = \{0, 1, 8, 16, 27, 64, 81, 125, 216, 256, \dots\}$  be the set of perfect cubes and fourth powers. Prove whether or not there are infinitely many positive integers not in

$$(P + S) \cup (P - S) \cup (S - P).$$

*Proposed by Li Zhou, Polk State College, Winter Haven, FL*

*Solution by the author*

Yes. Take any  $a = 64n^{12}$  with  $n \equiv 3 \pmod{7 \cdot 103}$ . Assume that  $m$  is any nonnegative integer.

First, by Germain's factoring,

$$a + m^4 = 4(2n^3)^4 + m^4 = (8n^6 + 4n^3m + m^2)(4n^6 + (2n^3 - m)^2),$$

which cannot be prime. Also, if  $a - m^4 = (8n^6 - m^2)(8n^6 + m^2)$  is prime, then we must have  $(2n^3)^3 - m^2 = 1$ . But it is well known that  $x^3 - y^2 = 1$  only has the solution  $(x, y) = (1, 0)$ , an impossibility. Similarly, if  $m^4 - a = (m^2 - 8n^6)(m^2 + 8n^6)$  is prime, then we must have  $m^2 - (2n^3)^3 = 1$ . But it is also well known that  $x^2 - y^3 = 1$  only has the solutions  $(x, y) = (0, -1)$ ,  $(\pm 1, 0)$  and  $(\pm 3, 2)$ , all impossibilities for our choice of  $n$ .

Next,

$$a + m^3 = (4n^4 + m)(12n^8 + (2n^4 - m)^2),$$

which cannot be prime. Now if  $a - m^3 = (4n^4 - m)(16n^8 + 4n^4m + m^2)$  is prime, then we must have  $4n^4 - m = 1$ , thus

$$16n^8 + 4n^4m + m^2 = 48n^8 - 12n^4 + 1 \equiv 48 \cdot 3^8 - 12 \cdot 3^4 + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{7},$$

which cannot be prime. Finally, if  $m^3 - a = (m - 4n^4)(m^2 + 4mn^4 + 16n^8)$  is prime, then we must have  $m - 4n^4 = 1$ , so

$$m^2 + 4mn^4 + 16n^8 = 48n^8 + 12n^4 + 1 \equiv 48 \cdot 3^8 + 12 \cdot 3^4 + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{103},$$

which cannot be prime. Therefore, all such  $a$  are not in  $(P + S) \cup (P - S) \cup (S - P)$ .

*Note:* After proposing this problem I have also proved the following generalization: Let  $P$  be the set of primes and for any  $k \geq 3$ , let  $S_k = \{m^i : m \geq 0 \text{ and } 3 \leq i \leq k\}$ . There are infinitely many positive integers not in  $(P - S_k) \cup (P + S_k) \cup (S_k - P)$ .

O526. Let  $x, y, z$  be nonnegative real numbers such that  $xy + yz + zx = 3$ . Prove that

$$(x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + 1)^3 \geq (x^3 + y^3 + z^3 + 5xyz)^2.$$

*Proposed by Marius Stănean, Zalău, Romania*

*Solution by Todor Zaharinov, Sofia, Bulgaria*

The inequality can be written as

$$A = \left( \sum_{cyc} x^2 + 1 \right)^3 - \left( \sum_{cyc} x^3 + 5xyz \right)^2 \geq 0$$

Let us denote:

$$\begin{aligned} p &= x + y + z \geq 0 \\ q &= xy + yz + zx = 3 \\ r &= xyz \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Then we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{cyc} x^2 &= p^2 - 2q \\ \sum_{cyc} x^3 &= p^3 - 3pq + 3r \end{aligned}$$

We can rewrite the inequality as follows

$$\begin{aligned} A &= (p^2 - 2q + 1)^3 - (p^3 - 3pq + 3r + 5r)^2 \geq 0 \\ A &= (p^2 - 5)^3 - (p^3 - 9p + 8r)^2 = \\ &= -125 - 6p^2 + 3p^4 - 16(p - 3)p(3 + p)r - 64r^2 \end{aligned}$$

Clearly

$$\begin{aligned} &(x - y)^2 + (y - z)^2 + (z - x)^2 \geq 0 \\ \Leftrightarrow &2 \sum_{cyc} x^2 - 2 \sum_{cyc} xy \geq 0 \\ \Leftrightarrow &2(p^2 - 2q) - 2q \geq 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad 2p^2 \geq 6q = 18 \\ &p \geq 3 \end{aligned}$$

(equality occurs iff  $x = y = z$ ).

Since AM-GM inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} q &= xy + yz + zx \geq 3\sqrt[3]{x^2y^2z^2} = 3\sqrt[3]{r^2} \\ \Leftrightarrow &r^2 \leq \frac{q^3}{27} = 1 \\ &0 \leq r \leq 1 \end{aligned}$$

(equality  $r = 1$  occurs iff  $x = y = z$ ).

Since  $0 \leq r \leq 1$  and  $p \geq 3$  it follows that:

$$\begin{aligned} A &= -125 - 6p^2 + 3p^4 - 16(p-3)p(3+p)r - 64r^2 \geq \\ &\geq -125 - 6p^2 + 3p^4 - 16(p-3)p(3+p) \cdot 1 - 64 \cdot 1 = \\ &= -189 + 144p - 6p^2 - 16p^3 + 3p^4 = \\ &= (p-3)^2(3p^2 + 2p - 21) \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

as required.

Equality occurs if and only if  $p = 3, q = 3, r = 1$ , and then  $x = y = z = 1$ .

*Also solved by Corneliu Mănescu-Avram, Ploiești, Romania; Dumitru Barac, Sibiu, Romania; Todor Zaharinov, Sofia, Bulgaria; Nicușor Zlota, Traian Vuia Technical College, Focșani, Romania; Arkady Alt, San Jose, CA, USA.*

O527. Let  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a nonconstant function such that

$$\max\{f(x+y), f(x-y)\} = f(x)f(y)$$

for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ . Prove that  $f(x) \geq 1$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$

*Proposed by Navid Safaei, Sharif University of Technology, Tehran, Iran*

*Solution by the author*

Plugging  $x = y = 0$  yields to  $f(0) \in \{0, 1\}$ . If  $f(0) = 0$  then  $f(x) = f(x)f(0) = 0$ . Impossible. Therefore,  $f(0) = 1$ . Putting  $x = 0$  we find that  $\max\{f(y), f(-y)\} = f(y)$  resulting into  $f(y) = f(-y)$ . Setting  $x = y$  we find that  $\max\{f(2x), 1\} = f(x)^2$ . Setting  $y = 2x$  gives us  $\max\{f(3x), f(x)\} = f(x)f(2x)$ . Finally, if  $y = 3x$ ,  $\max\{f(4x), f(2x)\} = f(x)f(3x)$ .

Now, we find that  $f(x)^2 \geq 1$ , that is,  $|f(x)| \geq 1$ . If  $f(2x) < 0$  then  $f(x) = \pm 1$ , hence,  $f(x)f(2x) \neq f(x)$ , that is,  $f(3x) = f(x)f(2x) > f(x)$  and  $f(x) = -1, f(3x) = -f(2x) > 0, f(4x) \leq f(x)f(3x) = -f(3x) < 0$ . In conclusion, of  $f(2x) < 0$ , then

$$f(x) = -1, f(3x) > 0, f(2x) < 0.$$

Now, let us prove the following lemma.

*Lemma:*  $f(x)$  and  $f(2x)$  have the same sign. *Proof:* If  $f(x) < 0$ , then setting  $x = 2z$  for some real  $z$ , gives us  $f(2z) < 0, f(2x) = f(4z) < 0$ . If  $f(x) > 0$  and  $f(2x) < 0$ , then  $f(x) = -1$ . Absurd. This completes the proof.

Now, assume that  $f(x) < 0$  for some  $x$ . Then  $f(2x) < 0$  and  $f(3x) > 0$ . On the other hand, if  $f(x) > 0$  for some  $x$ , then  $f(2x) > 0$  and  $f(4x) > 0$ . Since  $\max\{f(4x), f(2x)\} = f(x)f(3x)$ , we find that  $f(x)f(3x) > 0$ . In either case  $f(3x) > 0$ . Assume that  $f(x) < 0$  for some  $x$ . Setting  $x = 3t$  we get  $f(3t) < 0$ , which contradicts our observation. Hence, from  $|f(x)| \geq 1$  we derive that  $f(x) \geq 1$  for all  $x$ .

*Note:* By setting  $g(x) = \log f(x)$  we find that

$$\max\{g(x+y), g(x-y)\} = g(x) + g(y).$$

Thus, we can prove that  $g(x) = |A(x)|$  for some additive function  $A(x)$ .

*Also solved by Ioannis D. Sfikas, Athens, Greece.*

O528. Find all functions  $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  such that  $f(1) \mid f(m)$  and

$$f(mn)f(\gcd(m, n)) = \gcd(m, n)f(m)f(n),$$

for all  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

*Proposed by Besfort Shala, University of Primorska, Koper, Slovenia*

*First solution by the author*

Assume first that  $\gcd(m, n) = 1$ . Plugging in such  $m, n$  into the functional equation, we obtain

$$f(mn) = \frac{f(m)f(n)}{f(1)}$$

which can be rewritten as

$$\frac{f(mn)}{f(1)} = \frac{f(m)}{f(1)} \cdot \frac{f(n)}{f(1)},$$

meaning that the function  $g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  defined by  $f(1)g(m) = f(m)$  is multiplicative. It is easy to check that  $g$  also satisfies the given functional equation. So, we will first find all multiplicative solutions. Note that  $g(1) = 1$  and we only need to define  $g$  at points  $p^k$  for  $p$  a prime number and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . However, letting  $m = n = p$ , we obtain

$$g(p^2)g(p) = pg(p)^2 \implies g(p^2) = pg(p).$$

Plugging in  $m = p^2, n = p$ , we obtain

$$g(p^3)g(p) = pg(p^2)g(p) = p^2g(p)^2 \implies g(p^3) = p^2g(p).$$

By induction,  $g(p^k) = p^{k-1}g(p)$  for all primes  $p$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . This further simplifies our problem as it is now enough to define  $g(p)$  only at primes  $p$ . We will show that, in fact, any positive integer value can be assigned to  $g(p)$ . For each prime  $p$ , let  $g(p) = a_p \in \mathbb{N}$  for some arbitrary natural number  $a_p$ . Let  $m, n$  be arbitrary natural numbers, both greater than 1 (if  $m = 1$  or  $n = 1$ , there is nothing to check) with prime divisors in the set  $\{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_l\}$  so that we can write  $m = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \dots p_l^{\alpha_l}$  and  $n = p_1^{\beta_1} p_2^{\beta_2} \dots p_l^{\beta_l}$  with  $\alpha_i, \beta_i \geq 0$  but  $\alpha_i + \beta_i > 0$  for all  $i$ . Plugging in  $m, n$  into the functional equation and denoting  $\min\{\alpha_i, \beta_i\}$  by  $m_i$  gives (note that  $\gcd(m, n) = p_1^{m_1} p_2^{m_2} \dots p_l^{m_l}$ )

$$\left( \prod_{i=1}^l p_i^{\alpha_i + \beta_i - 1} a_{p_i} \right) \left( \prod_{m_i \neq 0} p_i^{m_i - 1} a_{p_i} \right) = \left( \prod_{\alpha_i \neq 0} p_i^{\alpha_i - 1} a_{p_i} \right) \left( \prod_{\beta_i \neq 0} p_i^{\beta_i - 1} a_{p_i} \right) \left( \prod_{m_i \neq 0} p_i^{m_i} \right).$$

Firstly, note that  $p_i^{m_i - 1}$  cancels with  $p_i^{m_i}$  when  $m_i \neq 0$ , leaving  $\prod p_i$  on the right hand side. Then, for a fixed prime  $p_i$ ,  $a_{p_i}$  appears exactly once or twice in the left hand side, appearing twice if and only if  $p_i$  divides both  $m, n$ . The same holds for the right hand side, hence all  $a_{p_i}$ 's cancel. Finally, for  $p_i$  which divide both  $m, n$  (consider the following arguments after the first cancellation),  $v_{p_i}(LHS) = \alpha_i + \beta_i - 1 = (\alpha_i - 1) + (\beta_i - 1) + 1 = v_{p_i}(RHS)$  whereas for  $p_i$  that only divides  $m$  (note that this means  $\beta_i = 0$  hence also  $m_i = 0$ ),  $v_{p_i}(LHS) = \alpha_i - 1 = v_{p_i}(RHS)$ . Similarly for  $p_i$  which only divides  $n$ . We conclude that everything cancels out, hence the given functional equation is satisfied for such  $g(m)$ . As  $f(m) = cg(m)$  also satisfies the functional equation for any  $c \in \mathbb{N}$ , we conclude that all solutions are of this form, where  $g(m)$  is a multiplicative function with  $g(p^k) = p^{k-1}g(p)$  at prime powers  $p^k$  and  $g(p)$  being an arbitrary natural number.

Second solution by Joel Schlosberg, Bayside, NY, USA

We prove by induction on  $n$  that

$$f(n) = nf(1) \prod_{\text{prime } p|n} \frac{f(p)}{pf(1)}.$$

If  $n$  is 1 or prime, the right-hand side trivially reduces to  $f(n)$ .

If composite  $n$  has a prime divisor  $q$  for which  $q^2 | n$ , by induction

$$\begin{aligned} f(n) &= \frac{\gcd(q, n/q)f(q)f(n/q)}{f(\gcd(q, n/q))} = qf(n/q) \\ &= q \cdot \frac{n}{q} f(1) \prod_{\text{prime } p|(n/q)} \frac{f(p)}{pf(1)} = nf(1) \prod_{\text{prime } p|n} \frac{f(p)}{pf(1)}. \end{aligned}$$

If composite  $n$  has a prime divisor  $q$  for which  $q^2 \nmid n$ , by induction

$$\begin{aligned} f(n) &= \frac{\gcd(q, n/q)f(q)f(n/q)}{f(\gcd(q, n/q))} = \frac{f(q)}{f(1)} f(n/q) \\ &= \frac{f(q)}{f(1)} \cdot \frac{n}{q} \cdot f(1) \prod_{\text{prime } p|(n/q)} \frac{f(p)}{pf(1)} \\ &= nf(1) \cdot \frac{f(q)}{qf(1)} \prod_{\substack{\text{prime } p \neq q \\ p|n}} \frac{f(p)}{pf(1)} \\ &= nf(1) \prod_{\text{prime } p|n} \frac{f(p)}{pf(1)}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, as long as  $f(1), \frac{f(p)}{f(1)} \in \mathbb{N}$  for all primes  $p$ ,

$$f(n) = nf(1) \prod_{\text{prime } p|n} \frac{f(p)}{pf(1)}$$

defines a function satisfying the given conditions, since by unique factorization

$$\frac{m}{f(1)} = \frac{m}{\prod_{\text{prime } p|m} p} \cdot \prod_{\text{prime } p|m} \frac{f(p)}{f(1)}$$

is the product of positive integers and so is a positive integer, and

$$\begin{aligned} f(mn) &= \frac{\gcd(m, n)mf(1) \prod_{\text{prime } p|m} \frac{f(p)}{pf(1)} \cdot nf(1) \prod_{\text{prime } p|n} \frac{f(p)}{pf(1)}}{\gcd(m, n)f(1) \prod_{\substack{\text{prime } p \\ p|m \text{ and } p|n}} \frac{f(p)}{pf(1)}} \\ &= mnf(1) \prod_{\substack{\text{prime } p \\ p|m \text{ and } p \nmid n}} \frac{f(p)}{pf(1)} \prod_{\text{prime } p|n} \frac{f(p)}{pf(1)} \\ &= mnf(1) \prod_{\text{prime } p|mn} \frac{f(p)}{pf(1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Also solved by Rathindra Nath Karmakar, Indian Statistical Institute, Bangalore, India; Taes Padhiary, Disha Delphi Public School, India.